


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I, Charles Edward SITCH BA,

Managing Director of RWS Group Ltd UK Translation Division, of Europa House, Chiltern Park, Chiltern Hill, Chalfont St. Peter, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom, declare;

1. That I am a citizen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.
2. That the translator responsible for the attached translation is well acquainted with the French and English languages.
3. That the attached is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a true translation into the English language of the accompanying copy of the specification filed with the application for a patent in France on 15 November 2002 under the number 02/14,356 and the official certificate attached thereto.
4. That I believe that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the patent application in the United States of America or any patent issuing thereon.



For and on behalf of RWS Group Ltd

The 10th day of January 2011



PCT/FR 03/03334

MAILED 26 JAN 2004

WIPO PCT

---

# P A T E N T

---

## UTILITY CERTIFICATE – CERTIFICATE OF ADDITION

### OFFICIAL COPY

The Director-General of the Institut National de la Propriété Industrielle certifies that the attached document is a true copy of an application for industrial property titleright filed at the Institute.

Drawn up in Paris, **14 NOV. 2003**

On behalf of the Director-General of the  
Institut National de la Propriété Industrielle  
The Patent Department Head

[signature]

Martine PLANCHE

[rubber stamp]

INSTITUT  
NATIONAL DE  
LA PROPRIÉTÉ  
INDUSTRIELLE

REGISTERED OFFICE  
26 bis, rue de Saint Petersburg  
75800 PARIS Cédex 08  
Telephone: 33 (0)1 53 04 53 04  
Fax: 33 (0)1 53 04 45 23  
[www.inpi.fr](http://www.inpi.fr)



26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg  
75800 Paris Cedex 08  
Telephone: 33 (1) 53 04 53 04 Fax: 33 (1) 42 94 86 54

# PATENT

## UTILITY CERTIFICATE

Intellectual Property Code - Book VI



N° 11354\*02

**REQUEST FOR GRANT**

page 1/2



Reserved for the INPI

This form is to be filled in legibly in black ink

DB 540 W / 010501

## SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS

DATE 15 NOV 2002

PLACE 75 INPI PARIS B

NATIONAL REGISTRATION No. 02/14,356

ASSIGNED BY THE INPI

DATE OF FILING ASSIGNED BY THE INPI 15 NOV. 2002

**Your file references:**

(optional) BIF114697/FR

**1** NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE APPLICANT OR THE REPRESENTATIVE TO WHOM THE CORRESPONDENCE IS TO BE ADDRESSED

CABINET BONNET-THIRION  
12, avenue de la Grande Armée  
75017 PARIS

**Confirmation of filing by fax**☐ No. assigned by the INPI to the fax**2** NATURE OF THE APPLICATION

Tick one of the 4 following boxes

Patent application

☒

Utility certificate application

☐

Divisional application

☐*Initial patent application*

No.

Date  /  / *or initial utility certificate application*

No.

Date  /  / 

Conversion of a European patent application

☐*Initial application*

No.

Date  /  / **3** TITLE OF THE INVENTION (200 characters or spaces maximum)

Method for obtaining a mark on a low surface energy ophthalmic lens

**4** PRIORITY DECLARATION OR APPLICATION FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE FILING DATE OF A PRIOR FRENCH APPLICATION

Country or organisation

Date  /  / 

No.

Country or organisation

Date  /  / 

No.

Country or organisation

Date  /  / 

No.

☐ If there are other priorities, tick the box and use the "continuation" form**5** APPLICANT (Tick one of the 2 boxes)☒ Legal entity☐ Natural person

Name or company name

ESSILOR INTERNATIONAL (COMPAGNIE GENERALE D'OPTIQUE)

Forenames

Legal form

société anonyme

SIREN No.

APE-NAF Code

Domicile or registered office

Street

147, rue de Paris

Postcode and town

94220 CHARENTON LE PONT

Country

FRANCE

Nationality

FRENCH

Telephone No. (optional)

Fax No. (optional)

E-mail address (optional)

☐ If there are other applicants, tick the box and use the "continuation" form

The second page must be filled in

**PATENT**  
**UTILITY CERTIFICATE**

REQUEST FOR GRANT

page 2/2



Reserved for the INPI

SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS

DATE 15 NOV 2002

PLACE 75 INPI PARIS B

NATIONAL REGISTRATION No.

02/14,356

ASSIGNED BY THE INPI

DB 540 W / 300301

**Your file references:**  
(optional)

BIF114697/FR

**6 REPRESENTATIVE**

Name

Forename

Firm or Company

CABINET BONNET-THIRION

No. of permanent power of attorney  
and/or contractual arrangement

Address

Street

12 Avenue de la Grande Armée

Postcode and town

75017 PARIS

Telephone No. (optional)

01 53 81 17 00

Fax No. (optional)

E-mail address (optional)

**7 INVENTOR (S)**

The inventors must be natural persons

The inventors are the applicants

☐ Yes

☒ No In this case, fill in the Designation of inventor(s) form

**8 SEARCH REPORT**

For a patent application only (including division and conversion)

Immediate compilation  
or deferred compilation

☒

☐

Fee paid in instalments  
(in two instalments)

Only for natural persons filing their own application

☐ Yes

☐ No

**9 REDUCTION OF FEES**

For natural persons only

☐ Requested for the first time for this invention (attach notice on non-application)

☐ Obtained prior to filing for this invention (attach copy of the decision granting free assistance or indicate its reference):

If you used the "continuation" form,  
give the number of attached pages

**10 SIGNATURE OF THE APPLICANT  
OR REPRESENTATIVE**  
(name and capacity of the signatory)

**SIGNED FOR THE PREFECTURE  
OR THE INPI**

[signature]

Herbert LEWITTER No. 96.1206  
CABINET BONNET-THIRION

[signature]

The present invention relates to marking ophthalmic lenses, and in particular eyeglass lenses, for identifying the source, the manufacturer and/or the technical characteristics of the lens, as well as for applying distinctive signs such as a logo. Such marks are known as "monograms". There are many methods for marking ophthalmic lenses, some of which involve removing material from the ophthalmic lens and/or the coatings thereof, in particular by etching or by means of lasers, and in particular by means of excimer lasers.

The latest generation ophthalmic lenses usually include a hydrophobic and/or oleophobic surface coating to resist smudge associated with an antireflection coating. The antireflection coating, which may comprise a single layer or multiple layers, consists of a material of high surface energy. A thin protection layer of a low surface energy organic material is deposited on this antireflection coating. This thin layer reduces oily deposits and other forms of soiling and in practice constitutes a hydrophobic and/or oleophobic surface coating.

Marks of the above kind are preferably invisible under normal circumstances so as not to irritate the wearer. They are rendered visible by misting the lens, the thin film of condensation that forms on the surface producing microdroplets on the low surface energy portions, whereas on the high surface energy portions the film of condensation spreads over the whole of the surface, forming larger droplets. In ambient lighting, diffusion by the microdroplets produces a much lighter tone than the larger droplets.

The publication WO 01/68384, in the name of the present applicant, describes a method and a device for marking low surface energy ophthalmic lenses using an energization discharge that selectively increases the

surface energy corresponding to the cut-outs in a mask placed between the discharge and the ophthalmic lens to be marked. This kind of mask comprises a flexible film applied under mechanical tension to the surface to be marked or a layer of ink applied to said surface and subsequently removed using an appropriate solvent. In a preferred embodiment, the energization discharge is a corona discharge.

In the method described in the PCT application WO 01/68384, the energization discharge produces a chemical reaction with only the antismudge coating, which breaks the latter's molecular bonds and thereby destroys the integrity of the antismudge coating, so revealing the higher energy surface of the underlying antireflection coating. The resulting marking is clearly visible on misting the lens.

The above method is effective and gives good results.

Following surface treatments, the ophthalmic lens undergoes a trimming operation that involves machining the edge or periphery of the lens to match it to the dimensions of the rim of the frame to which it is to be fitted.

Trimming is normally carried out on a grinding machine. During this operation, the lens is held by holding members acting axially. Movement of the lens relative to the grinding wheel is numerically controlled to obtain the required peripheral contour. The holding members have to hold the lens during trimming.

A holding device is placed on the convex face of the lens with a retaining shoe, such as a double-sided adhesive pad, disposed between the holding device and the convex face of the lens. An axial clamping member clamps the concave face of the lens via a buffer that is normally made of elastomer.

During trimming, if the holding members are not sufficiently effective, tangential cutting may cause the lens to rotate relative to the holding members, leading to rejection of the trimmed lens. Proper retention of the lens depends mainly on good adhesion at the interface between the retaining shoe and the convex face of the lens.

Hydrophobic and/or oleophobic antismudge surface coatings, usually of the fluorosilane type, have now become so effective that adhesion at the interface between the retaining shoe and the convex face of the lens is degraded or even compromised. This applies to polycarbonate lenses in particular, whose trimming generates forces much higher than those necessary for other materials. This results in a high percentage of lenses rejected because they have not been trimmed correctly.

To overcome this problem, it has been proposed to provide an adhesion layer on top of the antismudge coating, conferring upon the lens a surface energy greater than that of the outermost layer, i.e. the antismudge coating. This temporary protection layer may be applied to the whole of the convex face of the lens or only to the central region that receives the retaining shoe. Thanks to the temporary protection layer, the maximum off-axis error is  $2^\circ$  or even  $1^\circ$  or less.

The protection layer consists of any materials for increasing the surface energy and adapted to be eliminated after trimming without modifying the optical properties of the lens and the qualities of the relevant face of the lens. The temporary protection layer is preferably a mineral layer, more particularly a layer of a metal fluoride or a mixture of metal fluorides, a metal oxide or a mixture of metal oxides, for example magnesium fluoride ( $\text{MgF}_2$ ), lanthanum fluoride ( $\text{LaF}_3$ ), aluminum

fluoride ( $\text{AlF}_3$ ) or cerium fluoride ( $\text{CeF}_3$ ) mixtures of alumina and praseodymium oxide are recommended. A protection layer of the above kind can be deposited by any conventional method, but preferably by evaporation in a vacuum enclosure, as is generally the case for antireflection and hydrophobic and/or oleophobic antismudge coatings.

The temporary protection layer is preferably from 5 to 50 nm thick. If the protection layer is too thin, the resulting modification of the surface energy may be insufficient, whereas if it is too thick, there is a risk of mechanical stresses being generated inside the layer, which may prejudice the expected properties.

Finally, the temporary protection layer preferably has a minimum degree of transparency, for example at least 18% or even at least 40%, as defined in the ISO standard 8980/3, enabling standard power measurements on the lens carrying its protective layer by means of a focimeter.

Alternatively, conventional inks for marking ophthalmic lenses and/or resins constituting the binder of such inks may be used. With organic protection layers, much greater thicknesses can be obtained, from 5 to 150  $\mu\text{m}$ . Alkyde type resins are satisfactory.

Antireflection coatings and hydrophobic/oleophobic coatings are generally deposited by evaporation in a vacuum. The lenses on which antireflection and antismudge coatings have been deposited are placed above orifices in a turntable in the vacuum enclosure and their periphery rests on a ring fastened to the turntable by holding means. The treatment device is situated in the lower portion of the enclosure and comprises a crucible in which the material to be evaporated is placed and that is generally heated by means of an electron beam or a dual effect source, depending on the nature of the material to



be evaporated.

5       The material to be evaporated to constitute a coating layer is deposited on the face of the lens to be treated until the required thickness is obtained, at which point the evaporation of this first material is stopped. The next material is then evaporated. As a general rule, all of the coatings are deposited on one face of the lens. then, after turning the lens over, all of the coatings are deposited on the other face of the lens, this set of coatings normally being identical to that deposited on the first face of the lens.

10       Obviously, when treating the second face, the integrity of the layers deposited on the first face must be protected, especially the integrity of the outermost layer. The hydrophobic and/or oleophobic antismudge coating is very thin, having a thickness from 2 to 10 nm or even from 2 to 5 nm. Now, it is sometimes necessary to treat the face of the lens using very energetic substances, i.e. substances whose energies exceed 0.1 eV, or reactive substances, i.e. substances liable to react chemically with the surface of the lens. In particular, before depositing multilayer antireflection coatings, the ophthalmic lens undergoes surface preparation, such as ionic bombardment (for example with rare gases, oxygen, mixtures thereof, nitrogen or air), plasma treatment or discharge treatment (typically treatment by an oxygen plasma at a pressure of  $10^{-2}$  mbar). It may also be necessary to carry out an activation treatment in order to prepare a surface before depositing a coating layer, for example to increase the adhesion of the layer. Similarly, ionic bombardment may be applied during evaporation of the materials to improve its mechanical properties and in particular to densify the layer (this is known as ionically assisted deposition (IAD)).

35       The substances generated are highly energetic

and/or reactive and are liable to degrade the deposit on the first face of the lenses and in particular those situated at the periphery of the turntable. The same problem is encountered if the lenses to be treated have an overall shape similar to that of the lens when ready for mounting, depending on the frame, the energetic and/or reactive substances then being able to pass between the circular orifice in the turntable and the peripheral edge of the lens to whose first face a deposit has been applied.

To overcome this problem, depositing a temporary protective layer on the thin outermost antismudge layer, i.e. the external layer of the lens, in order to protect the outermost layer of the first face of the lens when depositing coating layers on the second face in a vacuum enclosure has been envisaged.

This temporary protection layer must be thick enough to prevent degrading the properties of the thin outermost layer and is chosen as a function of the surface energy of the substances, which can vary from 40 to 150 eV with a current density at the lens surface from 30 to 700  $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ . The thickness of the protection layer is preferably from 5 nm to 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for this application. If the protection layer is a mineral layer deposited by evaporation, its thickness is preferably from 5 to 200 nm. In all cases, the protection layer must not be too thin, to avoid the risk of insufficiently protecting the thin hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer, or too thick, in particular for the essentially mineral protection layers, to prevent the risk of mechanical stresses appearing within the layer, which would be prejudicial to its properties.

The layer used for this purpose may have the same composition as the adhesion layer referred to above. Mixtures of alumina and praseodymium oxide are also

recommended for this purpose.

Organic material temporary protection layers include those based on polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), for example that sold under the trade mark TEFLON®.

5 A multilayer temporary protection coating, and in particular a two-layer coating, may also be envisaged, the first layer being a thin mineral layer (from 5 to 200 nm thick), while the second layer is organic and can be obtained by depositing and hardening a latex. This  
10 layer is thicker, from 0.2 to 10  $\mu\text{m}$  thick.

The organic layer provides good mechanical protection and can be peeled off easily. The organic layer is selected so that its adhesion to the mineral first layer is greater than that to the interface between  
15 the mineral first layer and the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic layer. Thus the mineral layer is also removed on peeling off the organic layer.

Now, depositing a temporary protection layer to ensure good adhesion between a retaining shoe and the  
20 convex face of the lens and/or to protect the first face of the lens during treatment with highly energetic or reactive substances forms a screen or mask in front of the corona discharge source which prevents the discharge reaching the thin low surface energy layer through the  
25 temporary protection layer in one or the other of the applications cited above.

It has therefore been necessary to carry out the corona treatment before depositing the temporary protection layer, which has involved transferring the  
30 lens from the vacuum enclosure to the corona treatment device and then returning it to the vacuum enclosure to deposit the temporary protection layer.

It has been found, unexpectedly, that the corona treatment can be carried out on the thin hydrophobic  
35 and/or oleophobic layer through the temporary protection

layer provided that it is less than approximately 5 nm thick, i.e. has a thickness that until now has been considered insufficient for one or the other of the applications cited above. It proves that, despite the fact that the temporary protection layer is very thin, this layer proves adequate in both the applications cited above.

Thus one aspect of the present invention is a method for marking one face of an ophthalmic lens of the type including a low surface energy hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on a substrate or a high surface energy coating, wherein a mask having a configuration complementary to the required mark is positioned between the face of the lens to be marked and an energizing discharge source adapted to oxidize the outermost layer in order to reveal the substrate or underlying high energy coating, which method is characterized in that there is deposited onto said outermost layer a temporary protection layer having a surface energy higher than that of the outermost layer and a thickness of less than about 5 nm to enable the discharge to act on the outermost layer through the temporary protection layer.

The protection layer is preferably a mineral layer deposited by evaporation and comprises, for example, a metal fluoride or a mixture of metal fluorides, such as  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{LaF}_2$ ,  $\text{AlF}_3$  or  $\text{CeF}_3$ , or a metal oxide or a mixture of metal oxides, such as  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$  and praseodymium oxide and the mixture of metal oxides is a mixture of alumina and praseodymium oxide.

The temporary protection layer may have a substantially continuous structure or a discontinuous structure, in particular in the form of a screen.

In a different embodiment the temporary protection layer is an organic material and is preferably based on

polytetrafluoroethylene.

Alternatively, the protection layer consists of a marking ink for ophthalmic lenses and/or a polymer constituting a marking ink binder.

5           The temporary protection layer is preferably eliminated after trimming the lens, in particular by an acid solution, by dry wiping or by application of ultrasound.

10           In practice, deposition of the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on a first face of the lens is preceded by the deposition of one or more mineral or organic layers, characterized in that at least one step of treatment by energetic and/or reactive substances capable of attacking and/or chemically modifying the  
15           surface of the first face of the lens is effected before the deposition of the mineral or organic layer(s). The lens can then be turned over to treat its second face by energetic and/or reactive substances before depositing one or more mineral or organic layers and a hydrophobic  
20           and/or oleophobic outermost layer. A temporary protection layer can then be deposited on the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on the second face of the lens to improve its adhesion to the holding member that cooperates with this second face during trimming.

25           The marking as such is carried out using the method described in PCT application WO 01/68384. Although the corona discharge source is the preferred energization source for selectively oxidizing the outermost hydrophobic and/or oleophobic layer, other sources can be  
30           used, such as a cold plasma or ultraviolet radiation source for photo-oxidation of the molecules of the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer.

The invention is described with reference to the appended drawing, in which:

35           - figure 1 is a diagrammatic view of low-frequency

corona treatment apparatus for marking a lens, and

- figure 2 is a partial diagrammatic view of a lens of the invention following corona treatment through a temporary protection layer.

5 A lens treated in accordance with the present invention comprises a mineral or organic glass substrate. The two faces of the lens carry different layers, some of which are deposited one on top of the other, by evaporation of materials contained in a crucible, using  
10 an electron gun or by the Joule effect, in a vacuum enclosure. There may be cited in particular the Balzers BAK 760 machine equipped with an electron gun, an ion gun of the end-Hall, Commonwealth Mark 2 type and a Joule effect evaporation source, or the Leybold 1104 vacuum  
15 treatment machine equipped with an electron gun and a Joule effect evaporation source. Before depositing a first layer on a first face, preferably the convex face, that face is treated with the energetic and/or reactive substances, in particular by ionic bombardment, for  
20 example with a beam of ions of argon and oxygen, using the ion gun, or plasma treatment to chemically modify the surface in order to improve the adhesion of the deposit.

The first coating usually comprises an antiabrasion layer, in particular of the polysiloxane type  
25 corresponding to example 3 of European patent application N° 0.614.957, which protects the substrate from scratches, especially if it is a polycarbonate organic substrate, which has a high surface energy.

Onto this first coating is then deposited a single-  
30 layer or multilayer antireflection coating having a high surface energy. A coating of this kind is preferably a multilayer coating in which the layers are successively of high and low refractive index and may comprise, for example, a first layer consisting of  $ZrO_2$ , a second layer  
35 consisting of  $SiO_2$ , a third layer consisting of  $ZrO_2$ , and

a fourth and final layer consisting of  $\text{SiO}_2$ . A coating of this kind is relatively insensitive to energizing discharges, such as corona, cold plasma or ultraviolet irradiation discharges. Because of this, marking by means of such energizing discharges leaves the antireflection coating layers substantially intact, and in particular the outermost layer. It goes without saying that other antireflection coatings may be used, and in particular those consisting of a plurality of mineral layers. Similarly, other methods of depositing antireflection coating layers may be used, and in particular cathode sputtering or plasma-assisted vapor phase chemical reaction methods.

The hydrophobic and/or oleophobic external coating is then deposited on the antireflection coating by evaporation in a Joule effect crucible of an Optool DSX compound from Daikin comprising perfluoropropylene groups. The thickness of this kind of hydrophobic and oleophobic coating is less than 10 nm, even 5 nm, and is preferably 2 nm. Its surface energy is less than 14 millijoules/m<sup>2</sup> and preferably equal to or less than 12 millijoules/m<sup>2</sup>.

According to the invention, a temporary protection layer less than 5 nm thick is deposited, also by evaporation, and preferably in the same vacuum enclosure, onto the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic coating, over the whole of the treated face, to protect it during the treatment of the other face of the lens, or where applicable over a region intended to come into contact with the retaining shoe, in particular when the convex face is treated in the vacuum enclosure after the concave face and protection against energetic and/or reactive substances is therefore not indispensable.

The temporary protection layer is a mineral layer consisting of a metal fluoride or a mixture of metal

fluorides or a metal oxide or a mixture of metal oxides, for example.  $\text{MgF}_2$  from Merck with a mean particle size of 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  is preferably used, and is placed in the crucible and evaporated by the electron gun. The rate of deposition is approximately 0.50 nm/s, and the position takes from 4 to 8 seconds, producing a temporary protection layer from about 2 nm to about 4 nm thick. The thickness deposited is controlled by a quartz microbalance.

After the temporary protection layer has been deposited, the enclosure is heated and the treatment chamber vented to atmosphere before turning over the lenses. The treatment steps already described are then repeated on the second face of the lens. The temporary protection layer, preferably of  $\text{MgF}_2$ , deposited on the convex face is intended to increase the surface energy thereof to improve adhesion during trimming.

After the coating layers have been deposited on both faces of the lens, the lens is taken out of the enclosure for marking in a low-frequency corona treatment device 10 shown diagrammatically in figure 1 and including a Mylar<sup>®</sup> screen or mask 12 tensioned by a Mylar<sup>®</sup> tensioning mechanism. This screen includes a cut-out 13 that is complementary to the pattern that will be marked on the lens 20 and is held by a pivoting arm 15 (represented here in a position at 90° to its normal position to simplify the diagram) and urged toward a position in which its retaining shoe 16 engages with the center of the convex face 21 of the lens, so that the corresponding region of the convex face 22 is in intimate and continuous contact with the portion of the screen including the marking cut-out.

A Softal-3DT MultiDyne corona discharge device 30 is installed under the screen inside a housing of which only the top plate 11 is represented and comprises two



hook-shaped or D-shaped wire electrodes 31 having a straight portion followed by a semicircular portion. The semicircular portions face each other. The distance between the electrodes and the lens to be treated is approximately 5 mm. This enables treatment of an oval region whose major axis can be up to 65 mm long. These electrodes are connected to the secondary windings 33 of a 12 kV high-tension transformer 32. For safety reasons, the secondary windings have a grounded center tap which halves the voltage between the high-tension conductors and ground. A constant flow of pressurized air is directed between the electrodes by a source 35, preferably a fan. The effect of this flow of air is to divert the electrical arc 40 and to spread it with a curvature that is determined by the configuration of the electrodes. The higher the voltage and the higher the velocity of the flow of air, the more the arc spreads. Arcs are produced for 5 seconds at a constant rhythm of 50 to 60 cycles/second. The continuous arc produces a corona discharge with very highly charged ions. This energy field is capable of disintegrating the thin hydrophobic and/or oleophobic coating facing the cut-outs 13 in the screen 12 through the protection layer deposited on the coating and whose thickness is less than about 5 nm, preferably from about 2 to about 4 nm, and more preferably about 2 nm. The device includes means (not shown) for regulating the air flow. It can further include a system for filtering and extracting ozone produced in the corona treatment that converts the ozone into oxygen, the gas filtered in this way escaping from under the housing.

Figure 2 is a diagrammatic partial view to a larger scale of a portion of the temporary protection layer 23 on the convex face 22 and the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic layer 24 onto which the temporary protection

layer is deposited and which includes regions 25  
disintegrated by the energizing discharge source to  
expose the surface of the outermost layer of the  
antireflection coating having a high surface energy. The  
5 lens is then trimmed to fit it to the rim of the frame.  
This trimming of the lens may be carried out on an  
Essilor Gamma grinding machine, for example, the lens  
being held between a retaining shoe, in this instance a  
25 mm diameter 3M self-adhesive pad that cooperates with  
10 the convex face and an Essilor holding device of the same  
diameter. The off-axis error during trimming is less than  
1° and therefore perfectly satisfactory.

The temporary protection layer may then be  
eliminated by dry wiping with a cotton cloth or in a  
15 liquid medium. It is preferably eliminated in a liquid  
medium using an acid solution, in particular a 0.01 to  
1 N solution of orthophosphoric acid. This solution may  
also contain cationic or amphoteric surfactants. The lens  
is dipped into the orthophosphoric acid solution in the  
20 ultrasound tank for two minutes at room temperature and  
then rinsed with water or isopropyl alcohol and dried.  
The temperature of the solution may vary, room  
temperature being satisfactory. The above two means may  
even be combined, namely dry wiping followed by cleaning  
25 in an aqueous solution with a pH substantially equal to  
7. This layer can also be eliminated by ultrasound in a  
Branson B2200 E2 60 W ultrasound tank.

#### Example 1

A first coating is deposited comprising a  
30 polysiloxane antiabrasion layer corresponding to example  
3 of European patent application N° 0.614.957, followed  
by an antireflection coating comprising a succession of  
four layers consisting of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, and then  
a Shinetsu KP801M fluorosilazane coating layer. The lens  
35 is then monogrammed with the corona discharge device

described above, the process taking 5 seconds.

#### Example 2

5 A first coating is deposited comprising a polysiloxane antiabrasion layer corresponding to example 3 of European patent application N° 0.614.957, followed by an antireflection coating comprising a succession of four layers consisting of  $\text{ZrO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ , and then a Daikin Optool DSX coating layer containing perfluoropropylene groups. The lens is then monogrammed  
10 as in example 1.

#### Example 3

A 20 nm thick temporary protection layer of  $\text{MgF}_2$  is deposited onto another lens prepared as in example 1. The lens is then monogrammed as in example 1, but for  
15 10 seconds. The temporary protection layer is then eliminated by wiping with a Selvyt® polishing cloth.

#### Example 4

The example 3 procedure is followed, with monogramming effected in five periods each of 10 seconds  
20 duration, i.e. a total of 50 seconds.

#### Example 5

A 20 nm thick temporary protection layer of  $\text{MgF}_2$  is deposited onto another lens prepared as in example 2. The lens is then monogrammed as in example 1, but for  
25 10 seconds, after which the temporary protection layer is eliminated by wiping with a Selvyt® polishing cloth.

#### Example 6

The procedure of example 5 is followed with monogramming effected in five periods each of 10 seconds  
30 duration, i.e. a total of 50 seconds.

#### Example 7

A 2 nm thick temporary protection layer of  $\text{MgF}_2$  is deposited onto another lens prepared as in example 1. The lens is then monogrammed as in example 1, after which the  
35 temporary protection layer is eliminated by wiping with a

Selvyt<sup>®</sup> polishing cloth.

Example 8

5 A 2 nm thick temporary protection layer of MgF<sub>2</sub> is deposited onto another lens prepared as in example 2. The lens is then monogrammed as in example 1, after which the temporary protection layer is eliminated by wiping with a Selvyt<sup>®</sup> polishing cloth.

10 All of the lenses treated as above are then misted cold in order to show the monogrammed pattern. The monogramming on the lenses of examples 1 and 2 is good. The complementary high surface energy regions corresponding to the cut-outs of the screen are covered with a film of condensation comprising large droplets and of dark appearance under ambient lighting, whereas on the  
15 low surface energy regions protected by the screen the film of condensation consists of microdroplets of a much lighter tone.

Misting the lenses of examples 7 and 8 obtains the same good quality condensation patterns as with the  
20 lenses of examples 1 and 2. Furthermore, the optical and physical qualities of the outermost coating, i.e. the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic antismudge coating of the lens, after elimination of the temporary protection layer as in examples 7 and 8, are virtually identical to those  
25 of the lens before depositing the temporary protection layer onto the lenses as in examples 1 and 2.

On the other hand, misting the lenses from examples 3 and 5 does not show up condensation patterns complementary to the cut-outs in the screen. Similarly,  
30 with examples 4 and 6, the misting in the regions complementary to the cut-outs of the screen consists of microdroplets larger than those in the regions protected by the screen, but the contrast between these regions is very low and therefore the monogramming is of mediocre  
35 quality.

In the embodiment described, the energizing discharge source is a corona discharge source. Other energizing discharge sources may be used, such as a cold plasma source or a source of ultraviolet radiation, for example.

A cold plasma source may comprise an electrical or microwave discharge or a luminescent discharge source. An electrical or microwave source can produce a discharge in a gas such as oxygen, argon, nitrogen, carbon tetrafluoride, helium or ammonium at reduced pressures of the order of 1 millibar. The duration of the discharge may vary from a few seconds to a few tens of seconds and is preferably of the order of several seconds. Atea manufactures the Matis cold plasma discharge source. It is also possible to use a Lectro Treat cold plasma discharge source, which produces a hybrid corona discharge at atmospheric pressure.

An ultraviolet radiation source will produce photo-oxidation of the molecules at the irradiated surface. Molecular dissociation is obtained with wavelengths of the order of 2357 A° and 1849 A°. A Uvocs surface decontamination unit may be used as a source of ultraviolet radiation for the purposes of the present invention.

Similarly, instead of the screen described in the preferred embodiment, another form of mask may be used, for example a layer of ink applied directly to the surface to be marked, as described in the publication WO 01/68384 cited above.

Such other energizing discharges are also liable to disintegrate selectively the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic layer, in particular by oxidation or destruction of covalent bonds.

Of course, many modifications may be made to the embodiments described hereinabove without departing from

1st filing

18

the scope of the invention.

**CLAIMS**

1. Method for marking one face of an ophthalmic lens of the type including a low surface energy hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on a substrate or a high surface energy coating, wherein a mask having a configuration complementary to the required mark is positioned between the face of the lens to be marked and an energizing discharge source adapted to eliminate substantially the outermost layer in order to reveal the substrate or underlying high energy coating, which method is characterized in that there is deposited onto said outermost layer having a surface energy higher than that of the outermost layer and a thickness of less than about 5 nm to enable the discharge to act on the outermost layer through the temporary protection layer.

2. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that the thickness of the temporary protection layer is from approximately 2 nm to approximately 4 nm.

3. Method according to claim 1 or claim 2, characterized in that the protection layer is a mineral layer.

4. Method according to claim 1 or claim 2, characterized in that the protection layer comprises a metal fluoride or a mixture of metal fluorides or a metal oxide or a mixture of metal oxides.

5. Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the metal fluoride is  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{LaF}_2$ ,  $\text{AlF}_3$  or  $\text{CeF}_3$ .

6. Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the oxide is selected from  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$  and praseodymium oxide and the mixture of metal oxides is a mixture of alumina and praseodymium oxide.

7. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the protection layer is deposited by evaporation.

8. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the temporary protection layer is deposited on a region of the face intended to be in contact with a shoe for retaining the lens during trimming thereof.

9. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the layer has a substantially continuous structure.

10. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the protection layer has a discontinuous structure.

11. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the protection layer takes the form of a screen.

12. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the temporary protection layer consists of polytetrafluoroethylene.

13. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that the protection layer consists of a marking ink for ophthalmic lenses and/or a polymer constituting a marking ink binder.

14. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic surface coating comprises fluorinated groups.

15. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the lens comprises an antireflection coating onto which the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic layer is deposited.

16. Method according to claim 15, characterized in that the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic coating includes a plurality of layers.

17. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the temporary protection layer is eliminated after trimming the lens.

18. Method according to claim 17, characterized in



that the temporary protection layer is eliminated by an acid solution.

5 19. Method according to claim 17, characterized in that the temporary protection layer is eliminated by dry wiping.

20. Method according to claim 17, characterized in that the temporary protection layer is eliminated by application of ultrasound.

10 21. Method according to any one of claims 17 to 20, characterized in that the elimination of the temporary protection layer is followed by a cleaning step using an aqueous solution with a pH substantially equal to 7.

15 22. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the deposition of the hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on a first face of the lens is preceded by the deposition of one or more mineral or organic layers, characterized in that at least one step of treatment by energetic and/or reactive substances  
20 capable of attacking and/or chemically modifying the surface of the first face of the lens is effected before the deposition of the mineral or organic layer(s).

23. Method according to claim 22, characterized in that the lens is turned over to treat its second face by  
25 energetic and/or reactive substances before depositing one or more mineral or organic layers and a hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer.

24. Method according to claim 23, characterized in that a temporary protection layer is deposited on the  
30 hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on the second face of the lens.

**CLAIMS**

1. Method for marking one face of an ophthalmic lens of the type including a low surface energy hydrophobic and/or oleophobic outermost layer on a substrate or a high surface energy coating, wherein a mask having a configuration complementary to the required mark is positioned between the face of the lens to be marked and an energizing discharge source adapted to eliminate substantially the outermost layer in order to reveal the substrate or underlying high energy coating, which method is characterized in that there is deposited onto said outermost layer a temporary protection layer having a surface energy higher than that of the outermost layer and a thickness of less than about 5 nm to enable the discharge to act on the outermost layer through the temporary protection layer.

2. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that the thickness of the temporary protection layer is from approximately 2 nm to approximately 4 nm.

3. Method according to claim 1 or claim 2, characterized in that the protection layer is a mineral layer.

4. Method according to claim 1 or claim 2, characterized in that the protection layer comprises a metal fluoride or a mixture of metal fluorides or a metal oxide or a mixture of metal oxides.

5. Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the metal fluoride is  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{LaF}_2$ ,  $\text{AlF}_3$  or  $\text{CeF}_3$ .

6. Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the oxide is selected from  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{ZrO}_2$  and praseodymium oxide and the mixture of metal oxides is a mixture of alumina and praseodymium oxide.

7. Method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the protection layer is deposited by evaporation.

8. Method according to any one of the preceding  
claims, characterized in that the temporary protection  
layer is deposited on a region of the face intended to be  
in contact with a shoe for retaining the lens during  
trimming thereof.

9. Method according to any one of the preceding  
claims, characterized in that the layer has a  
substantially continuous structure.




Fig.1





Fig. 2





received on 27/11/02

**PATENT****UTILITY CERTIFICATE**

Intellectual Property Code - Book VI



N° 11235\*02

**PATENTS DEPARTMENT**

26 bis, rue de Saint Pétersbourg

75800 Paris Cedex 08

Telephone: 33 (1) 53 04 53 04 Fax: 33 (1) 42 94 86 54

**DESIGNATION OF THE INVENTOR(S) Page No. . 1 . / . 1**

(if the applicant is not the inventor or the sole inventor)

This form is to be filled in legibly in black ink

DB 113 W / 300301

<b>Your file references</b> (optional)		BIF114697/FR	
<b>NATIONAL REGISTRATION NO.</b>		02/14,356	
<b>TITLE OF THE INVENTION</b> (200 characters or spaces maximum)  Method for obtaining a mark on a low surface energy ophthalmic lens			
<b>THE APPLICANT(S):</b>  ESSILOR INTERNATIONAL (COMPAGNIE GENERALE D'OPTIQUE)			
<b>DESIGNATE(S) AS INVENTOR(S):</b> (Indicate top right "Page 1/1". If there are more than 3 inventors, use an identical form and number each page, indicating the total number of pages.)			
Name		CONTE	
Forenames		Dominique	
Address	Street	99, rue des Clefmonts,	
	Postcode and town	52100	SAINT-DIZIER
Employer company (optional)			
Name		KELLER	
Forenames		Gerhard	
Address	Street	37 bis Avenue Miss Cavell,	
	Postcode and town	94100	ST MAUR DES FOSSES
Employer company (optional)			
Name		WILLEMIN	
Forenames		Gérard	
Address	Street	5 rue Etienne Brulé,	
	Postcode and town	94500	CHAMPIGNY SUR MARNE
Employer company (optional)			
<b>DATE AND SIGNATURE(S) OF THE APPLICANT(S) OR OF THE REPRESENTATIVE (Name and capacity of the signatory)</b>  [signature]		27 November 2002 Herbert LEWITTER No. 96.1206 CABINET BONNET-THIRION	